Registration of 'Chelenko I' Garlic (Allium sativum L.) Variety

Tewodros Bezu*, Fikreyohannes Gedamu, Nigussie Dechassa, and Mulatua Hailu

Haramaya University, P. O. Box 138, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia

Abstract: *Chelenko I* (G-147-2/94) garlic variety was released in 2013 for mid to high altitude garlic growing areas of eastern and western Hararghe Zones in Ethiopia. The variety was developed through selection from a collection of 252 cultivars/genotypes obtained from Debre-Zeit Agricultural Research Centre in central Ethiopia and Haramaya district in the eastern part of the country. The released garlic variety was shown to have superior yielding ability and other desirable traits over the standard check *'Tsedey'* cultivar. Moreover, the yield of the new variety was found to be stable over seasons and locations in the eastern highlands of the country. Therefore, the variety could be cultivated sustainably by smallholder farmers in the area and other places with similar.

Keywords: Bulb yield; Bulb weight; Stability test; Yield trials; Tsedey variety; Haramaya

1. Introduction

Garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) is one of the main *Allium* vegetable crops known worldwide with respect to its production and economic value. It belongs to the family Alliaceae, genus *Allium* and originated in Central Asia (Brewster, 1994). It is used for seasoning in many foods as well as for medicinal and religious purposes (Hannan and Sorensen, 2001). It is widely cultivated throughout the world including Ethiopia. Total area under cultivation in the world was 1,199,929 ha with a production of 17674893 tonnes (FAO, 2012).In Ethiopia, 16411.19 ha of land was under garlic cultivation with a production of about 159093.58 tonnes (CSA, 2014).

Garlic is a high value crop. However, its production and productivity are very low due to many biotic and abiotic factors such as lack of high yielding varieties, non-availability of quality seeds, imbalanced fertilizer use, lack of irrigation facilities, lack of proper disease and insect pest management and other agronomic practices, low storability, and lack of proper marketing facilities (Getachew and Asfaw, 2010; Mohamed *et al.*, 2014). In line with this, 252 garlic cultivars/genotypes were evaluated over locations and seasons to select the most promising genotype with respect to yield and disease reaction for the eastern highlands of Ethiopia.

2. Varietal Origin/Pedigree and Evaluation

Chelenko I with the collection name of G-147-2/94 developed through selection from a collection of 252 cultivars/genotypes obtained from Debre-Zeit Agricultural Research Centre in the central part and Haramaya district in the eastern part of the country. The variety along with other test genotypes and standard check (*Tsedey*) were evaluated across three locations (Haramaya, Kulubi, and Chelenko) for two years (2011 and 2012).

3. Agronomic and Morphological Characteristics

The released variety *Chelenko I* exhibited congenial morphological and agronomic characteristics compared to the standard check (*Tsedey*). It has deep green foliage, with vigorous growth, and a medium time of maturity. It is also moderately susceptible to garlic rust. The variety produces large-sized bulbs and cloves that are white-skinned and creamy in flesh colour (Table 1).

4. Yield

Chelenko I (G-147-2/94) showed superior yielding ability, producing a mean bulb yield of 9.33 t ha⁻¹and a mean bulb weight of 49.15 g. In fact, the bulb yield of the new variety exceeded that of the standard check (*Tsedey*) variety by about 14.39% while its mean bulb weight exceeded that of the standard check by about 18.18% (Table. 1).

5. Yield Stability Test

The stability of the released variety along with seven other garlic genotypes which included the commercial standard check variety (*Tsedey*) were tested for bulb yield and bulb weight stability during the regional variety trials. Additive Main Effects and Multiplicative Interaction (AMMI) (Zobel *et al.*, 1988) model was used to test the stability of the genotypes since it combines the analysis of variance with principal component analysis. In addition, AMMI stability value (ASV) was calculated as proposed by Purchase (1997) and Purchase *et al.* (2000). The AMMI analysis of variance revealed that mean square for genotype x environment interaction was significant for bulb yield but not for the bulb weight (Table 2).

^{*}Corresponding Author. E-mail:tedrosneguse@yahoo.co.uk

| Character | Chelenko I (G-147-2/94) | Tsedey | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Adaptation area | | <u>_</u> | | | |
| Altitude | 2000 to 2400 m above sea level (Mid to high altitude garlic grown areas of eastern and western Hararghe) | 1800-2500 m above sea level (Medium to high altitude) | | | |
| Rainfall | 760-1010 mm | 760-1010 mm | | | |
| Planting | | | | | |
| Planting season | Year-round under both rain-fed and irrigated conditions | Year-round under both rain-fed and irrigated conditions | | | |
| Planting date | At the start of the main rainy season (June) and at any time with irrigation considering the frost period | At the start of the main rainy season (June) and at any time with irrigation considering the frost period | | | |
| Seeding rate (t ha-1) | 0.8 - 1.0 | 0.8 - 1.0 | | | |
| Row spacing (cm) | 30 | 40 | | | |
| Spacing between plants (cm) | 10 | 10 | | | |
| Fertilizer rate (kg ha-1) | 92 kg P ₂ O ₅ (200 kg DAP), 105 kg N (69 kg N from 150 kg Urea and 36 kg N from the 200 kg DAP) | 92 kg P ₂ O ₅ (200 kg DAP), 105 kg N (69 kg N from 150 kg Urea and 36 kg N from the 200 kg DAP) | | | |
| Fertilizer application time | Whole phosphorus through DAP (200 kg) plus half N through DAP plus 36 kg urea ha ⁻¹ at planting and half N (114 kg urea) at active vegetative growth stage | Whole phosphorus through DAP (200 kg) plus half N through DAP plus 36 kg urea ha ⁻¹ at planting and half N (114 kg urea)at active vegetative growth stage | | | |
| Fertilizer application method | Drilling in rows just before planting | Drilling in rows just before planting | | | |
| Days to maturity | 132 | 133 | | | |
| Crop pest reaction Leaf | Moderately susceptible to garlic rust | Moderately susceptible to garlic rust | | | |
| Leaf length (cm) | 44.42 | 40.60 | | | |
| Foliage colour | Green | Green | | | |
| Ability to produce scape | Absent | Absent | | | |
| Yield (tha-1) | | | | | |
| Bulb yield (tha-1) | 9.3 | 8.13 | | | |
| Bulb weight per plant (g) | 49.15 | 41.59 | | | |
| Clove weight (g) | 3 (2 to 4) | 2.29 | | | |
| Number of cloves per bulb | 13 (11 to 15) | 13 | | | |
| Release year | 2014 | 1999/00 | | | |
| Breeder/Maintainer | Haramaya University | DZARC/EIAR | | | |

Table1. Agronomic and morphological characteristics of 'Chelenko I' (G-147-2/94) garlic variety as compared to standard check 'Tsedey'.

This suggested the need to conduct further stability analysis for bulb yield but not for bulb weight to identify the most stable or widely adaptable and high yielding genotype. The stability analysis showed that the released variety *(Chelenko I)* had the lowest ASV values and the highest bulb yield of 9.33 tonnes ha⁻¹ among the tested genotypes (Table 3). In addition, *Chelenko I* variety had the heaviest bulbs and cloves among all the genotypes. As a whole, the newly released variety was found to be stable and high yielding, and could be recommended for cultivation in a wide range of environment in the eastern highlands of Ethiopia.

| | Bulb yield | t ha-1 | | Bulb weig | Bulb weight (g) | | | | |
|--------------|------------|---------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|--|--|
| Source of | Degree | Sum | Mean | Sum of square | Sum | Mean | Sum of square | | |
| variation | of | squares | squares | explained | squares | squares | explained | | |
| | freedom | | | % total % G x E | | | % total %G x E | | |
| Treatment | | | | | | | | | |
| | 47 | 1085.9 | 23.1** | 81.83 | 8186 | 174.2** | 59.30 | | |
| Genotype | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7 | 58.2 | 8.32** | 5.36 | 1448 | 206.8** | 17.69 | | |
| Environment | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | 894.9 | 178.99** | 82.41 | 5496 | 1099.3** | 67.14 | | |
| Rep within E | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12 | 54.2 | 4.52 | 4.99 | 1628 | 135.7 | 19.89 | | |
| GхE | | | | | | | | | |
| | 35 | 132.7 | 3.79* | 12.22 | 1241 | 35.5 | 15.16 | | |
| IPCA 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 11 | 92.2 | 8.38** | 69.48 | 666 | 60.6 | 53.67 | | |
| IPCA 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 9 | 23.5 | 2.61 | 17.71 | 275 | 30.6 | 22.16 | | |
| Residuals | | | | 12.81 | | | | | |
| | 15 | 17 | 1.14 | | 300 | 20 | 24.17 | | |
| Error | | | | 14.10 | | | | | |
| | 84 | 187.1 | 2.23 | | 3992 | 47.5 | 28.92 | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | |
| | 143 | 1327.1 | 9.28 | | 13805 | 96.5 | | | |

Table 2. AMMI analysis of variance for bulb yield and bulb weight of eight garlic genotypes tested at three locations for two cropping seasons (2011 & 2012).

* and **, significant at P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively. Rep within E = replication within environments; $G \times E =$ genotype by environment interaction; IPCA 1 and 2 = interaction principal component axis one and two, respectively.

Table 3. AMMI stability parameters for bulb yield (t ha⁻¹) from AMMI model analysis of eight garlic genotypes tested at three locations and two cropping seasons (six environments).

| Genotype | 2011 cropping season | | | 2012 cropping season | | | Pooled Mean | AMMI parame | mode ters | odel stability | |
|------------|----------------------|----------|--------|----------------------|----------|--------|----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|------|
| | | | | | | | & Rank | IPCA | IPCA | | ASV |
| | Haramaya | Chelenko | Kulubi | Haramaya | Chelenko | Kulubi | | 1 | 2 | ASV | rank |
| G-147- | | | | | | | | | | | 4 |
| 2/94 | 8.446 | 9.056 | 4.364 | 13.100 | 9.482 | 9.859 | 9.05 (2) | 0.69 | 0.26 | 1.11 | |
| Chelenko I | 7.710 | 11.661 | 4.280 | 12.190 | 10.865 | 9.249 | 9.33 (1) | -0.06 | -1.31 | 0.01 | 1 |
| G-66-1/94 | 7.260 | 11.816 | 2.718 | 9.850 | 5.659 | 7.514 | 7.47 (8) | -1.53 | 0.10 | 5.89 | 8 |
| G-71-2/94 | 7.407 | 7.009 | 3.247 | 12.290 | 8.298 | 8.909 | 7.86 (5) | 1.04 | 0.57 | 1.41 | 6 |
| G-83-2/94 | 8.758 | 11.542 | 4.021 | 11.670 | 6.664 | 9.106 | 8.63 (3) | -0.97 | 0.73 | 1.12 | 5 |
| G-88-1/94 | 7.051 | 8.404 | 2.953 | 11.420 | 7.887 | 8.321 | 7.67 (7) | 0.35 | 0.13 | 0.56 | 3 |
| Tsedey | 7.281 | 10.213 | 3.298 | 11.280 | 8.309 | 8.405 | 8.13 (4) | -0.22 | -0.34 | 0.18 | 2 |
| G-65-2/94 | 6.896 | 7.996 | 3.041 | 11.720 | 8.788 | 8.467 | 7.82 (6) | 0.72 | -0.15 | 1.58 | 7 |
| Env | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mean | 9.71 | 7.60 | 3.49 | 8.24 | 11.69 | 8.73 | | | | | |
| IPCA1 | -1.92 | -0.23 | 0.01 | 1.10 | 0.72 | 0.31 | | | | | |

Env = environment; IPCA 1 and 2 = interaction principal component axis one and two, respectively; ASV = AMMI stability value. Numbers in parenthesis represent pooled mean characteristics of genotypes in a descending order. ASV = rank of genotypes in ascending order.

Tewodros et al.

6. Conclusion

The newly released variety *Chelenko I* was found to be superior to the commercial variety (*Tsedey*), which was used as a standard check, in terms of both bulb yield and clove weight. The bulb yield of the variety was also found to be stable over seasons and locations. It is, thus, concluded that the *Chelenko I* garlic variety could be cultivated sustainably and profitably by smallholder farmers in the eastern highlands of the country as a cash crop to enhance household income and livelihoods.

7. Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Haramaya University for providing the financial supports required to conduct the research. We thank also staff members of Horticulture Programme, School of Plant Sciences of Haramaya University, for their unreserved technical assistance in the field as well as laboratory work.

8. References

- Brewster, J. L. 1994. Onions and other Vegetable Alliums. CABI publishing Wellesbourne, UK.
- CSA (Central Statistical Agency). 2014. The Federal Republic of Ethiopia Central Statistics Authority Agricultural Sample Survey 20013/14 (2006 E. C), Report on Area and Production of Major Crops. *Statistical Bulletin*, 532.

- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations). 2012. Area and production of crops by countries.www.faostat.fao.org.
- Getachew, T., Asfaw, Z. 2010. Achievements in shallot and garlic research. Report No.36. Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization, Addis Ababa Ethiopia.
- Hannan, R. M. and Sorensen, E. J. 2001. Crop Profile for Garlic in Washington. Washington State University, Pullman.
- Mohammed Amin, Shiberu Tadele and Thangavel Selvaraj. 2014. White rot (*Scelerotium cipivorum* Berk)-an aggressive pest of onion and garlic in Ethiopia: an overview. *Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology & Sustainable Development* 6(1): 6 - 15.
- Purchase, J. L., Hatting, H., and Van Deventer, C. S. 2000. Genotype x environment interaction of winter wheat (*T. aestivum*) in South Africa: Stability analysis of yield performance. *S. Afr. J. Plant Soil* 17(3):101 - 107.
- Purchase, J. L. 1997. Parametric analysis to described G x E interaction and yield stability in winter yield.
 PhD Thesis. Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Orange Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa. pp. 4 83.
- Zobel, R. W., Wright, M. J. and Gauch, H. G. 1988. Statistical analysis of a yield trial. *Agronomy Journal*, 80:38.